

Measuring Six Sigma Key Criteria for Success in Institutions of Higher Learning: Development and Testing Verification Tool

Dr. D. Yuvaraj¹, Dr. S. Bharathi Vasu², Ms. D. Bhavani³, Dr. K. Karnavel⁴

¹Professor & Head, Department of Master of Business Administration, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Kazhipattur, Chennai – 603103

²Professor, Department of Master of Business Administration, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Kazhipattur, Chennai – 603103

³Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Master of Business Administration, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Kazhipattur, Chennai – 603103

⁴Professor & Head, Department of Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Kazhipattur, Chennai – 603103

Abstract

The achievement of undertakings is basic achievement factors. This is an exploration project proposes advancement and approvals of a Tool to assess the execution of six basic pointers of accomplishment during the enhancements exercises in advanced education establishments. Eleven fruitful passages are recorded in the six manner sigma documentation, including authoritative and devoted support, six sigma interchanges and institutional arranging, six sigma correspondences with suppliers, correspondence, and choice of the colleagues, among others. The device was approved among Mexican advanced education organizations, where 743 investigations was collected. That is, the Tool was given by experienced improvement project designers. Hence, gathered information was break down, with an examination of the material, and later dissected the material utilizing the SPSS programming framework. Our outcome show that proposed Tool is the numerically substantial, so it tends to be utilized by the advanced education organizations to break down how Sigma's six victories are handle while fostering task's. Also, examination can assist the colleges with distinguishing potential region where they embrace six sigma modes and models to test how basic accomplishments communicate with one another to accomplish the normal results of progress projects.

1. INTRODUCTION

To guarantees that the nature of their courses and the managerial systems, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) from around world take on quality administration strategies for the advancement projects (IPs [1]. [3]. Also, [4] to say that out in the open Free HEIs have progressively presented quality administration framework over beyond twenty years. The training is the most well-known in United States, United Kingdom and other European nations, just as Middle East and Middle East, remembers the nations for Africa, southwest Asia and particularly China, for example, Australia and New Zealand [5] [7].

Likewise, [8] states there are many courses written in use of the quality administration frameworks at the most significant level of advanced education, for example, Total Quality Management (TQM), Six Sigma (SS), Management Knowledge, Small Thinking, Public Organization Work with ISO 9000.

Address for correspondence: D. Yuvaraj

Professor & Head, Department of Master of Business Administration, Anand Institute of Higher Technology, Kazhipattur, Chennai

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: pnrjournal@gmail.com

How to cite this article: D. Yuvaraj, S. Bharathi Vasu, D. Bhavani, K. Karnavel, Measuring Six Sigma Key Criteria for Success in Institutions of Higher Learning: Development and Testing Verification Tool, J PHARM NEGATIVE RESULTS 2022;13(4): 1592-1598.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.pnrjournal.com

DOI:
10.47750/pnr.2022.13.04.222

In the expansion to these techniques, instruction area has chosen standard models like European Foundation for the Quality Management (EFQM) execution, the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA), Singapore Model Award (SQA) and the High School Model [4].

Since for instance, as indicated by [9], the HEIs work with the administration frameworks, like the outside approval and the accreditation associations (model: ISO 9001), to work on the cycles and to address the worldwide rivalry. Hence, frequently, however generally because of restricted admittance to assets in the agricultural nations like Mexico, break down how HEIs use IPs to decide those elements that increment chances of accomplishing powerful results, consequently guiding institutional endeavors to resolve these issues.

The review created and approved the mediation of the Comprehensive Critical Measurement Tool (Critical Success Factor - CSFs) in the improvement of IPs in HEIs are found in the global SS distributions in administrations and information enterprises gathered at public Mexican colleges. Along these lines, until the issue of this review is addressed to every one of those keen on finding out with regards to the reception of the SS as a structure for IP improvement, this instrument might be reasonable to use in nations with comparable financial conditions to Mexico.

In this writing, there is an absence of confirmations of what HEIs resemble for quality IPs. That confirms that can give significant experiences into the seriousness of HEIs in the present worldwide world. This review expects to tend to investigate hole. Consequently critical to take note of that examination is an accessible instrument that requires a progression of constructions that have been checked for dependability and usefulness [10], so have approved and sound exploration that affirms the presentation of the survey and the set focuses to quantify the planned estimation [11].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

A KEY POINTS OF SUCCESS (CSFs)

As indicated by the [12], [13], CSFs are the most well known Rockart [14]. That is, CSFs are a progression of substantial resources that are crucial for an association, without which improvement is a little advance with minimal likelihood of coming out on top. The idea efficiently stresses key spaces of the executives and ought to be cautiously think about its targets. By understanding execution of CSF, an association can decide the various societies that for the most part affect the interaction, along these lines disposing of or keeping away from any issues that might add to its disappointment [15]. Presently, the advantages of CSF are exceptionally noticeable in assembling enterprises because of utilization Methodologies like Total Quality Management (TQM), ISO 9001, Six Sigma (SS), Kaizen, reasonable reasoning, and 5S, to give some examples. Luckily, the methodologies to work on the quality, and their thoughts, are being tried by the assistance area, including training. For

instance, a few HEIs give engineering programs dependent on this methodologies as a source of perspective system for the utilization of IPs [8].

CSFs investigated specific metals in different fields, for example, the force age administration, where [16] trial studies were directed to recognize huge scope execution of Lean Six Sigma (LSS) CSFs, and in light of this, they has aggregated a poll. A portion of the exploration likenesses were made [17] in little and a medium-sized creation organizations, and the instrument were intended to the photo perspective of respondent in the CSFs and hindrances to SS execution. Then again, [18] introduced a LSS concentrate on zeroing in on CSFs distinguished in the examination literary works of both production and asset organizations. Presently, there is no instrument accessible with the end goal of catch SS CSFs in advanced education areas.

B SIGNATURE SIX (SS)

The SS strategy was created at Motorola in 1987 by engineer Bill Smith. The genuine SS framework depends on a couple of methodical and powerful devices viable with current SS frameworks [19]. For Reoseker and Pohekar [20], a SS improvement procedures of limits changeability in any of the cycle to take out handicaps or blunders. One of the vital components of this methodology is the DMAIC application (define, estimation, investigation, improvement, and control), which obtains a bunch of instruments and procedures in a successive grouping of venture execution and the advantages of manageability execution [21]. As quality has been turned into a significant ideas in instruction, it has contended that the Motorola approach might be vital for ceaselessly devoted colleges to create and in this way accomplish a more elevated level of schooling [22], [23].

These methodology urge dynamic that to be founded on information investigation and its not simply on instincts or premonitions [24], which happen every now and again in HEIs. The word SS is starting to the sound solid in universe of instruction, to a great extent as a result of the developing significance of what they call "quality in schooling". Advanced education specifically, the unfilled framework items that can straightforwardly affect nature of recruiting associations for them. SS is viewed as a feasible methodologies since it is a particular element c, measurables strategy and great information. It must be keen on the help, activity and incorporation of the SS devices and the procedures to manage true issues [1], [13], [22], [23], [25] [28]. In this detects, IPs is a major piece of SS approach [29], and where fitting, they bring about a not-for-profit marking. Tragically, there are an absence of documentation regarding the nature of HEIs for IP, which addresses science a chance for its public and approval instrument to distinguish how SS CSFs are overseen between these HEIs when performing IPs.

3. RESEARCH POLICY

The apparatus that will be created and endorsed for this undertaking permits to gather information needed for utilization of SS CSFs between HEIs during IPs. Consequently, fundamental motivation behind the review is to propose an apparatus HEIs leaders use when they want to additionally work on institutional cycles over IP, individually relying upon the SS structure to work.

4. HOW

This review utilized the information arrangement of break concentrate on bunches in the utilization of SS CSFs between HEIs during IPs. The acknowledged way to deal with the turn of events and approvals of our exploration instrument depends on the overall standards took on in plan and approval of apparatuses to measures adaptability in sociology utilizing a psychometric methodology [30] [32]. This methodology has been utilized as a references for agents to construct and validated a poll [11], [33], [34]. The course of development and approval of the inquiries utilized in this examination and as indicated by the above situations additionally contains the accompanying three classifications, which are talked about underneath: metal development, Construction comment and reference record. Instrument Management incorporates information assortment, and measurably, factual examination incorporates theoretical presumptions, highlight investigation examination information and primary approval.

A THE NEED FOR A TOOL

The goals of the exploration are as per the following: Installation of Senior Management and Commitment (TM), Training and Education (TE), Select Members Team and Teamwork (TW), Connect SS and Institutional Strategy (LI), Cultural Change (CC), Link SS and staff (HR), Clear Metric Performances (CM), Connect SS and Costumer (LC), Connectivity (C), Links SS and suppliers (LS) and Benefits (B). Here study, 11 CSFs addressed the latest factors to be perused in the overview. Note the most recent factors that can't be estimated straightforwardly and ought to be utilized [38], [39]. Therefore, the last review ought to be the result of that work, directed at the trial level by setting a bunch of pointers that can lessen the pace of every factor yield that will permit us to really see it [30]. Hence, as per the definition introduced in Table 1, the series of pointer are characterized in every development. For instance, Table 2 presents a construction called Top Management Involvement and Commitment, which is depicted in four terms: key administration, compelling cooperation, undeniable level social execution and admittance to monetary assets. Likewise, we give the relating research material to every marker and reference as follows: essential administration, things TM1, TM2 and TM3 are viable; something that works with TM4; the execution of the quality culture that you have TM5; lastly, admittance to the monetary administrations related with object TM6. As a

kind condition it is a well known technique for assessing the latest factors with a bunch of related components [34], [35]. On the Same Scale, every member ought to react independently to the series of explanation by choice of the scale worth or choice the best addresses their perspective. Here study, the idea that a normal score is like estimating the execution of SSFFFs in HEIs as follows: never (1), never (2), some of the time (3), recurrence (4), and consistently (5). Likewise, the review is coordinated into four areas. The initial three straight expects to present the Tool, gather general informations on tried HEIs, and examine nature of apparatuses normally utilized by these college when the creating IPs. From that point forward, the reason for the fourth stage was really look at the utilization of SS CSFs while making those IPs. At long last, the fifth stage looked to break down the advantages got from HEIs on applied IPs.

B TOOL MANAGEMENT

The review were directed by among the HEI's so the Mexican public could acquire a higher instructive effect on the Mexican setting. Truth be told, as per the Mexican State Secretary of State for Education [36], state funded college addresses over 70% of nationals enlistment in the advanced education. Iron is focuses on the nature of facilitators and designers with IP experience. At last, 743 online reviews were directed on foundation of an online from 400 unique training units of across 318 Unguja people group. These Mexican government has reports an extremely high paces of support; which is 14% of the absolute review, trailed by the Sonora and the Hidalgo, at 8 % and 6 % separately. Contingent upon the work title, 32% of the studies led are replied by subjects or quality facilitators, and around 20% are replied by experienced IP engineers.

C USE OF MATHEMATICAL ASSURANCE VOLUME

Psychometric hypothesis that alludes to inconspicuous variable and investigations. Factor of examination is the numerical strategy this alludes to an assortment of secrets, for example, structure [30] and is utilized to survey the unwavering quality and authenticity of a construction [11], [30], [32], [33]. In this review, the framework utilized for online managerial examination was contingent so that there is no deficient exploration should be possible, accordingly resolves the issues of missing information. Exporters, on an other hand, see it with the exceptional blends of distinguishing marks which they know are obviously contrast from different dreams.

For any investigation that contains over two times the variable, it is the significance for to adjust diverse situation of the each state all together for to take a gander at a specific normal point. These is sensible on account of Mahalanobis, In these sense, the Kline suggested the nonstop degrees of significant worth measurements when $p < 0.001$. As per our measurements, information base reports 186 study as merchants, all eliminated from examination after full testing. In this manner, the accompanying affirmation

insights were performed on the leftover 557 overview. To further develops fame of data set, research eliminates from it, as expressed in this idea should be totally killed to be utilized high likelihood of element release, for example as notices later it were utilized in current examinations. Estimating the recurrence of information variances, DeCarlo said, relying on incline and kurtosis. In these faculties, creator expresses that the quantity of side effects of ordinary kurtosis in typical course ought to be equivalent to 3.

TABLE 1. Conceptual definition of constructs.

| Construct | Description |
|--|--|
| Cultural Change (CC) | Adjust to an organizational culture through sustained communication, motivation, and high-quality education [35], [36]. |
| Top Management Involvement and Commitment (TM) | Must show leadership and commitment. Without top management support, projects are a waste of time and energy [13], [37], [38]. |
| Training and Education (TE) | Provides a clear sense of purpose to human resources and allows them to understand the principles, tools, and techniques of the improvement strategy [35], [39], [40]. |
| Link SS with Costumers (LC) | The challenge is to understand the voice of all the costumers and develop effective strategies to satisfy their needs [13], [35], [41]. |
| Link SS with Institutional Strategy (LI) | Implies associating IPs with the institution's strategy and priorities. Similarly, IPs must aim at the continuous improvement of processes and must directly impact costumers and financial and operating benefits [13], [42]–[44]. |
| Communication (C) | Effective communication allows organizations to develop a common language for change and improvement [13], [37]. |
| Select Team Members and Teamworks (TW) | Team members are selected according to their technical, analytical, interpersonal, knowledge transfer, and teamwork skills [43], [45]. |
| Clear Performance Metrics (CM) | Clear goals increase the scope of the improvements, employee efforts, and employee commitment toward quality [46], [47]. |
| Link SS with Human Resources (HR) | The results and achievements of IPs are key indicators of human resources performance and compensations. They also indicate to what extent the goals of the improvement strategies are incorporated into the individual goals of the employees [16], [37]. |
| Link SS with Suppliers (LS) | Evaluating suppliers with respect to quality and providing training and technical assistance ensure quality resources and services [38]. |

Kline thinks of it as a typical arrangement that the kurtosis files esteems beyond what 10 can intensify the issues, and worth higher than 20 may shows that they are more significant. In this review, the rate of kurtosis was determined by different individual examinations. As displayed in Table 3, the worth of the discoveries is lower than the past notice. That is, nine factors report an absolute number of kurtosis more prominent than 3, however no different factors show a higher worth than 10 the outcomes show that the information is standard. One of prerequisite standard multivariate testing is to perform checks for anomalies, as these is required, but not needed, standard multivariate mode [31]. Hence, when the commonality of every difference was viewed as consolidated, the

perceptions of numerous factors were investigated as proposed by Mardia. As indicated by [30], Mardia's tests are populared in the included investigations and depend on the overall degree of multivariate kurtosis. These is finished by the contrasting Mardia's information region underneath the ascertains the worth dependent on the recipe $(p C 2)$, where p is the quantities of factors saw in the model. Khine affirmed these conviction by contrasting and measure of quantifiable kurtosis found in the SPSS framework Amos R consequently including the proposed recipe. For this situation study, the computation depended with the understanding that the metal had 53 factors. The recipes showed the all out of 2,915, and the pointer for multivariate kurtosis get with SPSS Amos R were 335.8. On different words, since the last is not exactly past one, general suppositions are filled into the information base.

TABLE 2. Operationalization of top administration contribution and responsibility.

| Construct | Indicators | Variables | Items |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| TM | Strategy handling | TM1 | Top management's support and active participation in Six Sigma improvement activities (training, project selection, stage review, and result evaluation) [51]. |
| | | TM2 | Top management creates an environment of empowerment, learning, and innovation [51]. |
| | TM3 | Top management assumes responsibilities for SS performance [16]. | |
| | Active participation | TM4 | Top management is involved during the selection of team members and team leaders according to current business /customer requirements. Similarly, top managers understand how their involvement can impact on the success of SS projects [52]. |
| | | TM5 | Leadership encourages employee participation in SS implementation [53]. |
| | Quality culture implementation | TM6 | Top management allocates the appropriate budget and the necessary resources for SS projects [53]. |

At long last, we examined multicollinearity information to dispose of at least two factors that was exceptionally connects and that we estimated to similar develops [34]. A typical practice for multicollinearity estimation tests. With regards to this case, Kline contends that any consolidation of more than 0.85 organizations ought to be decipherers as proof of expected issues; nonetheless, when determined consolidation networks, it didn't discover a consolidation of more than at 0.85. All things considered, the most elevated focus gets was 0.82. In one more manner to trial of multicollinearity is to investigate inconstancy in factor (VIFs). On the off chance that the VIF records differs by more than 10, that change might be unwanted [37]. The pointers remembered for this review were all under 10, with a greatest worth of 5.86. I close, in light of the aftereffect of two multicollinearity test, our information assortment had

no multicollinearity issues.

TABLE 3. Results from the construct validity tests.

| Construct | Variable | Kurtosis | VIF | Factor Loading | Eigenvalues | Cronbach's alpha |
|-----------|----------|----------|-------|----------------|-------------|------------------|
| CC | CC1 | 2.118 | 2.993 | 0.854 | 2.001 | 0.856 |
| | CC2 | 0.852 | 2.968 | 0.866 | | |
| | CC3 | 2.812 | 2.061 | 0.733 | | |
| TM | TM1 | 5.697 | 3.695 | 0.851 | 4.016 | 0.940 |
| | TM2 | 1.590 | 4.570 | 0.890 | | |
| | TM3 | 5.018 | 4.612 | 0.888 | | |
| | TM4 | 0.218 | 3.860 | 0.858 | | |
| | TM5 | 3.468 | 4.244 | 0.883 | | |
| | TM6 | 0.544 | 2.562 | 0.756 | | |
| TE | TE3 | -2.013 | 2.632 | 0.772 | 2.066 | 0.883 |
| | TE5 | -2.644 | 3.621 | 0.864 | | |
| | TE6 | 0.700 | 4.246 | 0.922 | | |
| C | C1 | 0.685 | 3.940 | 0.861 | 3.387 | 0.927 |
| | C2 | -1.255 | 2.450 | 0.738 | | |
| | C3 | -0.295 | 4.957 | 0.891 | | |
| | C4 | -0.894 | 5.598 | 0.920 | | |
| | C5 | -1.041 | 3.966 | 0.849 | | |
| LC | LC3 | -2.336 | 2.601 | 0.733 | 2.231 | 0.897 |
| | LC4 | -0.057 | 3.801 | 0.871 | | |
| | LC5 | 0.093 | 3.724 | 0.857 | | |
| | LC6 | 2.144 | 3.727 | 0.858 | | |
| LI | LI1 | -0.053 | 2.977 | 0.782 | 2.502 | 0.929 |
| | LI2 | 1.851 | 5.233 | 0.891 | | |
| | LI3 | 1.325 | 3.720 | 0.841 | | |
| | LI4 | 2.476 | 5.307 | 0.903 | | |
| | LI5 | 0.412 | 3.978 | 0.845 | | |
| TW | TW1 | -5.098 | 2.412 | 0.744 | 1.334 | 0.847 |
| | TW2 | -3.046 | 3.178 | 0.883 | | |
| | TW4 | -2.955 | 2.76 | 0.806 | | |
| CM | CM1 | 4.770 | 5.296 | 0.890 | 2.800 | 0.953 |
| | CM2 | 1.715 | 4.822 | 0.881 | | |
| | CM3 | 1.938 | 5.536 | 0.904 | | |
| | CM4 | 2.355 | 5.864 | 0.914 | | |
| | CM5 | 3.756 | 5.193 | 0.891 | | |
| HR | HR4 | -2.869 | 3.147 | 0.818 | 1.862 | 0.903 |
| | HR5 | -1.680 | 3.921 | 0.882 | | |
| | HR6 | -1.076 | 4.844 | 0.919 | | |
| LS | LS1 | 0.425 | 3.916 | 0.844 | 4.207 | 0.935 |
| | LS2 | 0.076 | 3.975 | 0.850 | | |
| | LS3 | -1.911 | 4.229 | 0.874 | | |
| | LS4 | -3.858 | 4.089 | 0.824 | | |
| | LS5 | -2.166 | 3.434 | 0.800 | | |
| | LS6 | -1.609 | 3.868 | 0.851 | | |
| B | B2 | 1.316 | 3.982 | 0.800 | 6.946 | 0.959 |
| | B3 | 0.312 | 4.370 | 0.829 | | |
| | B4 | 2.172 | 2.937 | 0.796 | | |
| | B5 | 4.994 | 3.929 | 0.834 | | |
| | B6 | 2.468 | 4.707 | 0.870 | | |
| | B7 | 1.772 | 5.673 | 0.902 | | |
| | B8 | 0.618 | 4.778 | 0.893 | | |
| | B9 | 0.169 | 4.460 | 0.857 | | |
| | B10 | 2.532 | 5.371 | 0.891 | | |
| | B11 | 0.580 | 2.379 | 0.716 | | |

D ANALYSIS OF ANSWERS

When the usefulness of the Tool has been confirmed, the insightful reactions got from the approval area are performed to be acquire a sign of level of consistence's of this things in the overall settings of the mexican local area Ungu. To accomplish these, with every reaction got, a total was determined by inspecting the accessible materials remembered for every part and assessing the scores addressed by the SS standard for the element talked about during the advancement projects. Moreover, a distance table was set dependent on greatest number that can be acquired by factor and partitioned into the classes. One of each stage level has been discovered, the rating got by the component to decide its degree of consistence. Likewise, Team Work's discoveries from the respondent region have a low degree of consistence, which will address the region for advancement of HEIs who need to utilize SS and get a lot of t from improvement projects themselves.

5. LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FOLLOWING ACTIVITY

This review accomplished the reason for planning and approving a test device to assess the utilization of SSF during IP arrangement to Hei's. In any case, that two principle boundaries of the device allude to individuals being considered. In the first place, this review is focuses on the Mexican colleges, that implies their unfamiliar chiefs should proceed to completely survey to manage the way of life and provincial struggles. Second, this review is intended to be intended for state funded colleges and may not be reasonable for private colleges by their inclination, since they work in an unexpected way. In this manner, regardless of whether the creators believe that their device can utilized for some HEIs, whether public or private or maybe in different associations in the help area, it very well might be important to check the working of the instrument and, where suitable, roll out fundamental improvements before use in non-state-ensured associations. in them. As to the above impediments, coming up next are recommended and ought to be considered later on lines of future examination pointed toward utilizing the proposed instrument here. As to introductory limitations, suggestions for future works will be to apply and to check the propose device in one more nations to see the outcomes that are to be kept up with. Likewise, second line of the examination will be carry out and approve device in private college, from the device utilized in these review to the public Hei's. By doing, that can masterminded when instruments is solid for an assortment of dance styles or requires specific settings. One more conceivable line for future investigates will be to incorporate new things specialists imagine that are significant and are viable with device proposes here.

6. CONCLUSION

Presently, state funded college face financial aspects challenges, organs of state give them a limited spending plan for what is hard to meet. Also, the nature of administration in these sorts of HEIs doesn't generally address clients issues and their assumptions. With the end goal that accounts features the significant of utilizing successful methodology to improve of both the course and the administration measures. In these sense, the difficulties is to fortify the managerial design by guaranteeing that characteristics projects will boost assets, particularly during restricted financial occasions, without compromising help quality. Enhancements projects that can likewise help as a technique for ceaselessly improvement. It is a vital piece of the SS approach; However, there is the achievement relies upon the employments of the CSF series, that ought to be focus on. In other words, CSFs ought to be the standard among to IP engineers in the He as these sufficiency rating will permit college for to see openings for advancement for their projects under the SS systems.

The examination affirms that our overviews, comprising of 11 structure materials and 53 materials, is a legitimate, compelling apparatus for effective assessing consideration for given to CSFs by SS over the long run sending IPs to HEIs. Hence, the exploration can be utilized to recognize potential locales where IPs are inserted under the SS technique. As referenced before, the execution of SSFs for SSFs upgrades IP openings that effects on great managerial and scholastics programs. Sufficient college measure are acquiring energy at the public degree of execution, on the grounds that HEIs assume a fundamental part in local area advancement. Its execution detailed target advantage, like high clients fulfillment and administration/measure characteristics, better information based choices, and better frameworks, hardware s, and monetary advantage. Consequently, SSs in HEI's could be utilized as a base for making IPs when you need to get same advantage.

REFERENCES

- 1 M. S. M, "Constructs of quality in higher education services," *Int. J. Productiv. Perform. Manage.*, vol. 65, no. 8, pp. 1091_1111, Nov. 2016.
- 2 D. Kanigolla, E. A. Cudney, S. M. Corns, and V. Samaranyake, "Project based learning for quality and Six Sigma education," *Int. J. Six Sigma Competitive Adv.*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 51, 2013.
- 3 I. Dorobat, A. M. I. Corbea, and M. Muntean, "Integrating student trust in a conceptual model for assessing learning management system success in higher education: An empirical analysis," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 69202_69214, 2019.
- 4 M. In'airat and A. H. Al-Kassem, "Total quality management in higher education: A review," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 3, p. 294, Sep. 2014.
- 5 G. Srikanthan and J. Dalrymple, "Developing alternative perspectives for quality in higher education," *Int. J. Educ. Manage.*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 126_136, Jun. 2003.
- 6 G. Haug, "Quality assurance/accreditation in the emerging European higher education area: A possible scenario for the future," *Eur. J. Educ.*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 229_241, Sep. 2003.
- 7 N. Becket, "Quality management practice in higher education_What quality are we actually enhancing?" *JoHLSTE*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 40_54, Apr. 2008.
- 8 A. P. Pandi, P. R. Sethupathi, and D. Jeyathilagar, "Quality sustainability in engineering educational institutions_A theoretical model," *Int. J. Productiv. Qual. Manage.*, vol. 18, nos. 2_3, p. 364, 2016.
- 9 C. Moturi and P. M. F. Mbithi, "ISO 9001: 2008 implementation and impact on the University of Nairobi: A case study," *TQM J.*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 752_760, Oct. 2015.
- 10 R. K. Mckinley, T. Manku-Scott, A. M. Hastings, D. P. French, and R. Baker, "Reliability and validity of a new measure of patient satisfaction with out of hours primary medical care in the united kingdom: Development of a patient questionnaire," *Brit. Med. J.*, vol. 314, no. 7075, p. 193, Jan. 1997.
- 11 B. B. Flynn, S. Sakakibara, R. G. Schroeder, K. A. Bates, and E. Flynn, "Empirical research methods in operations management," *J. Oper. Manage.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 250_284, Apr. 1990.
- 12 A. Soti, R. Shankar, and O. Kaushal, "Modeling the enablers of Six Sigma using interpreting structural modeling," *J. Model. Manage.*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 124_141, Jul. 2010.
- 13 J. Antony, N. Krishan, D. Cullen, and M. Kumar, "Lean Six Sigma for higher education institutions (HEIs): Challenges, barriers, success factors, tools/techniques," *Int. J. Productiv. Perform. Manage.*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 940_948, Oct. 2012.
- 14 J. F. Rockart, "Chief executives de_ne their own data needs," *Harvard Bus. Rev.*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 81_93, 1979.
- 15 W. Alkamey and M. Albraithen, "Are critical success factors always valid for any case? A contextual perspective," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 63496_63512, 2018.
- 16 K. Jeyaraman and L. Kee Teo, "A conceptual framework for critical success factors of lean Six Sigma: Implementation on the performance of electronic manufacturing service industry," *Lean Six Sigma J.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 191_215, Aug. 2010.
- 17 M. Kumar, "Critical success factors and hurdles to Six Sigma implementation: The case of a UK manufacturing SME," *Int. J. Six Sigma Competitive Adv.*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. 333, 2007.
- 18 A. Laureani and J. Antony, "Critical success factors for the effective implementation of lean sigma," *Lean Six Sigma J.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 274_283, Nov. 2012.
- 19 M. S. Raisinghani, H. Ette, R. Pierce, G. Cannon, and P. Daripaly, "Six Sigma: Concepts, tools, and applications," *Ind. Manage. Data Syst.*, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 491_505, May 2005.
- 20 R. S. Reosekar and S. D. Pohekar, "Six Sigma methodology: A structured review," *Lean Six Sigma J.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 392_422, Oct. 2014.
- 21 R. Shankar, *Process Improvement Using Six Sigma: A Dmaic Guide*. Milwaukee, WI, USA: ASQ Quality Press, 2009.
- 22 S. Ho, M. Xie, and T. Goh, "Adopting Six Sigma in higher education: Some issues and challenges," *Int. J. Six Sigma Competitive Adv.*, vol. 2, no. 4, p. 335, 2006.
- 23 M. S. M, "Lean Six Sigma in higher education institutions," *Int. J. Qual. Service Sci.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 159_178, Jun. 2016.
- 24 Y. A. Báez, J. Limón, D. A. Tlapa, and M. A. Rodríguez, "Aplicación de Seis Sigma y los Métodos Taguchi para el Incremento de la Resistencia a la Prueba de Jalón de un Diodo Emisor de Luz," *Inf. Technol.*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 63_76, May 2010.
- 25 C. Gastelum-Acosta, J. Limon-Romero, M. Maciel-Monteon, and Y. Baez-Lopez, "Seis sigma en instituciones de educación superior en México," *Inf. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 91_100, Oct. 2018.
- 26 J. Antony, "Readiness factors for the Lean Six Sigma journey in the higher education sector," *Int. J. Productiv. Perform. Manage.*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 257_264, Jan. 2014.
- 27 J. Antony, A. Ghadge, S. A. Ashby, and E. A. Cudney, "Lean Six Sigma journey in a UK higher education institute: A case study," *Int. J. Qual. Rel. Manage.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 510_526, Feb. 2018.
- 28 M. S. M and J. Antony, "A conceptual lean Six Sigma framework for quality excellence in higher education institutions," *Int. J. Qual. Rel. Manage.*, vol. 35, no.

- 4, pp. 857_874, Apr. 2018.
- 29 M. C. Holmes, L. O. Jenicke, and J. L. Hempel, "A framework for Six Sigma project selection in higher educational institutions, using a weighted scorecard approach," *Qual. Assurance Edu.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 30_46, Feb. 2015.
- 30 T. Raykov and G. A. Marcoulides, *An Introduction to Applied Multivariate Analysis*, 1st ed. New York, NY, USA: Routledge, 2012.
- 31 J. C. Nunnally and I. H. Bernstein, *Psychometric Theory*, 3rd ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 1994.
- 32 L. R. Price, *Psychometric Methods: Theory Into Practice*. London, U.K.: The Guilford Press, 2017.
- 33 M. K. Malhotra and V. Grover, "An assessment of survey research in POM: From constructs to theory," *J. Oper. Manage.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 407_425, Jul. 1998.
- 34 J. V. Saraph, P. G. Benson, and R. G. Schroeder, "An Tool for measuring the critical factors of quality management," *Decis. Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 810_829, Dec. 1989.
- 35 J. Antony and R. Banuelas, "Key ingredients for the effective implementation of Six Sigma program," *Measuring Bus. Excellence*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 20_27, Dec. 2002.
- 36 R. Banuelas Coronado and J. Antony, "Critical success factors for the successful implementation of Six Sigma projects in organisations," *TQM Mag.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 92_99, Apr. 2002.
- 37 K. M. Henderson and J. R. Evans, "Successful implementation of Six Sigma: Benchmarking general electric company," *Benchmarking*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 260_282, Oct. 2000.
- 38 T. Laosirihongthong, S.-U. Rahman, and K. Saykhun, "Critical success factors of Six Sigma implementation: An analytic hierarchy process based study," *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Manage.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 303_319, Sep. 2006.
- 39 T. M. Kubiak, *The Certified Six Sigma Master Black Belt Handbook*. Milwaukee, WI, USA: ASQ Quality Press, 2012.