

Boundary Value Problem For A Fifth-Order Equation With Multiple Characteristics Containing The Second Time Derivative In A Finite Domain

A.H. Djuraev¹, X.K. Bunazarov²

¹PhD., Assistant professor of Namangan Engineering-Construction Institute

abdullajuraev66@gmail.com

²Senior teacher of Namangan Engineering Construction Institute

DOI: 10.47750/pnr.2022.13.508.69

I. Formulation of the problem.

In the region $D = \{(x, y) : 0 < x, y < 1\}$, consider the equation

$$\frac{\partial^5 u}{\partial x^5} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0. \quad (1)$$

We will say that a $u(x, y)$ regular solution to equation (1) if it satisfies equation (1) in the domain D and belongs to the class $C_{x,y}^{5,2}(D) \cap C_{x,y}^{4,1}(\bar{D})$.

Problem A. Find a regular solution of equation (1) in a region D that satisfies the boundary conditions

$$u_y(x, 0) = 0, \quad u(x, 1) = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{cases} u(0, y) = \varphi_1(y), & u_x(0, y) = \varphi_2(y), \\ u(1, y) = \varphi_3(y), & u_x(1, y) = \varphi_4(y), & u_{xx}(1, y) = \varphi_5(y), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\varphi_i(y) \in C^4[0,1], \quad \varphi_i'(0) = \varphi_i'(1) = \varphi_i''(0) = \varphi_i''(1) = 0, \quad i = \overline{1,5}.$$

Note that in [1] an equation $\frac{\partial^{2n+1} u(x, y)}{\partial x^{2n+1}} + (-1)^n \frac{\partial^2 u(x, y)}{\partial y^2} = f(x, y)$ with different boundary

conditions was studied. In this work, when solving boundary value problems, the apparatus of potential theory was used. Also, in [2], a similar problem for a third-order equation was studied by the Fourier method. In [3,4] various boundary value problems in semi-infinite domains were studied for equation (1).

II. Uniqueness of the solution

Theorem-1. If problem A has a solution, then it is unique.

Proof. Let problem A have two solutions $u_1(x, y)$ and $u_2(x, y)$. Then the function $u(x, y) = u_1(x, y) - u_2(x, y)$ satisfies equation (1) and homogeneous boundary conditions. Let us prove that $u(x, y) \equiv 0$ in \overline{D} . To do this, we multiply both parts of equation (1) by u , then we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uu_{xxxx}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_x u_{xxx}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u_{xx}^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(uu_y) - u_y^2 = 0,$$

integrating this identity over the domain D , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 u(1, y)u_{xxxx}(1, y)dy - \int_0^1 u(0, y)u_{xxxx}(0, y)dy - \int_0^1 u_x(1, y)u_{xxx}(1, y)dy + \\ & + \int_0^1 u_x(0, y)u_{xxx}(0, y)dy + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2(1, y)dy - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2(0, y)dy + \\ & + \int_0^1 u(x, 1)u_y(x, 1)dx - \int_0^1 u(x, 0)u_y(x, 0)dx - \iint_D u_y^2(x, y)dxdy = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the homogeneous boundary conditions of the problem A , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u_{xx}^2(0, y)dy + \iint_D u_y^2(x, y)dxdy = 0,$$

hence it follows that $u_y(x, y) = 0$, then $u(x, y) = f(x)$. Given the condition $u(x, 0) = 0$, we get that $f(x) \equiv 0$. Therefore $u(x, y) \equiv 0$, $(x, y) \in \overline{D}$. By virtue of the last equation, we obtain $u_1(x, y) = u_2(x, y)$. Theorem-1 is proved.

III . Solution Existence

Theorem-2. If the functions $\varphi_i(y) \in C^4[0, 1]$ and the matching conditions are met

$$\varphi_i'(0) = \varphi_i'(1) = 0, \quad \varphi_i'''(0) = \varphi_i'''(1) = 0, \quad i = \overline{1, 5},$$

then the solution to the problem A exists.

Proof. In order to prove the existence of a solution to the problem A , from the beginning we consider the following auxiliary problem: to find a non-trivial solution of equation (1) that satisfies the conditions and can be represented as

$$u(x, y) = X(x)Y(y). \quad (\text{four})$$

Putting (4) into (1), we get

$$X^{(5)} - \lambda^5 X = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$Y'' + \lambda^5 Y = 0, \quad (6)$$

from (6) and (2) we will have

$$\begin{cases} Y'' + \lambda^5 Y = 0, \\ Y'(0) = 0, Y(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

The solution to problem (7) has the following form

$$Y(y) = C_1 \cos \sqrt{\lambda^5} y + C_2 \sin \sqrt{\lambda^5} y,$$

Taking into account the boundary conditions, we find nontrivial solutions of problem (7), exists for $\lambda > 0$, and these

eigenvalues are [5] $\lambda_n = \left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and the eigenfunctions are

$$Y_n(y) = \sqrt{2} \cos \left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2} \right) y. \quad (8)$$

The general solution of equation (5) has

$$X(x) = C_1 e^{\lambda x} + e^{\lambda \alpha_2 x} (C_2 \cos \lambda \beta_2 x + C_3 \sin \lambda \beta_2 x) + e^{-\lambda \alpha_1 x} (C_4 \cos \lambda \beta_1 x + C_5 \sin \lambda \beta_1 x) \quad (9)$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \cos \theta_1, \beta_1 = \sin \theta_1, \alpha_2 = \cos \theta_2, \beta_2 = \sin \theta_2, \theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{5}, \theta_2 = \frac{2\pi}{5}.$$

$C_i - (i = \overline{1,5})$ are arbitrary constants.

Due to the linearity and homogeneity of equation (1), any finite sum of solutions will also be solutions. Taking this into account, A we are looking for a solution to the problem in

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) = \sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} & \left[C_1 e^{\lambda x} + e^{\lambda \alpha_2 x} (C_2 \cos \lambda \beta_2 x + C_3 \sin \lambda \beta_2 x) + \right. \\ & \left. + e^{-\lambda \alpha_1 x} (C_4 \cos \lambda \beta_1 x + C_5 \sin \lambda \beta_1 x) \right] \cos \left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2} \right) y. \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

The function defined by series (10) satisfies conditions (2), since all members of the series satisfy them. Satisfying conditions (3), we obtain the system of equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} C_{1n} + C_{2n} + C_{4n} = A_{1n}, \\ C_{1n} + \cos \theta_2 C_{2n} + \sin \theta_2 C_{3n} - \cos \theta_1 C_{4n} + \sin \theta_1 C_{5n} = \frac{A_{2n}}{\lambda_n}, \\ C_{1n} e^{\lambda_n} + C_{2n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos \lambda_n \beta_2 + C_{3n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin \lambda_n \beta_2 + \\ + C_{4n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos \lambda_n \beta_1 + C_{5n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin \lambda_n \beta_1 = A_{3n}, \\ C_{1n} e^{\lambda_n} + C_{2n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) + C_{3n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) - \\ - C_{4n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_1 - \theta_1) - C_{5n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_1 - \theta_1) = \frac{A_{4n}}{\lambda_n}, \\ C_{1n} e^{\lambda_n} + C_{2n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) + C_{3n} e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) + \\ + C_{4n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_1 - 2\theta_1) + C_{5n} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_1 - 2\theta_1) = \frac{A_{5n}}{\lambda_n^2}. \end{array} \right. \quad (11)$$

Where

$$A_{in} = \sqrt{2} \int_0^1 \varphi_i(y) \cos\left(\frac{\pi(2n-1)}{2} y\right) y dy, \quad i = \overline{1,5}. \quad (12)$$

Solving system (11) we get

$$C_{in} = \frac{\Delta_i}{\Delta}, \quad i = \overline{1,5}.$$

Let's show that $\Delta \neq 0$. To do this, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma: Boundary value problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} X^{(5)} - \lambda^5 X = 0, \\ X(0) = X'(0) = X(1) = X'(1) = X''(1) = 0, \end{array} \right.$$

has only a trivial solution.

Proof: Assume the opposite, let $X(x) \neq 0$. Consider the identity

$$X(X^{(5)} - \lambda^5 X) = 0,$$

or

$$\left(XX^{(4)} - XX''' + \frac{1}{2}(X'')^2 \right)' - \lambda^5 X^2 = 0,$$

integrating over the region $(0 < x < 1)$, we have

$$\int_0^1 \left(XX^{(4)} - XX''' + \frac{1}{2}(X'')^2 \right) dx - \lambda^5 \int_0^1 X^2 dx = 0,$$

$$\left(XX^{(4)} - XX''' + \frac{1}{2}(X'')^2 \right) \Big|_0^1 - \lambda^5 \int_0^1 X^2 dx = 0,$$

$$X(1)X^{(4)}(1) - X(0)X^{(4)}(0) - X'(1)X'''(1) + X'(0)X'''(0) + \frac{1}{2}(X''(1))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(X''(0))^2 - \lambda^5 \int_0^1 X^2 dx = 0,$$

taking into account the boundary conditions, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(X''(0))^2 + \lambda^5 \int_0^1 X^2 dx = 0,$$

since $\lambda > 0$, then $X(x) \equiv 0$.

Hence, the system of equations (11) has a unique solution. The determinant of the system has the form:

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} A_{2 \times 3} & B_{2 \times 2} \\ C_{3 \times 3} & D_{3 \times 2} \end{vmatrix},$$

where

$$A_{2 \times 3} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \cos \theta_2 & \sin \theta_2 \end{vmatrix}, \quad B_{2 \times 2} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$C_{3 \times 3} = \begin{vmatrix} e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos \lambda_n \beta_2 & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin \lambda_n \beta_2 \\ e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) \\ e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) \end{vmatrix},$$

$$D_{3 \times 2} = \begin{vmatrix} e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos \lambda_n \beta_1 & e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin \lambda_n \beta_1 \\ -e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_1 - \theta_1) & -e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_1 - \theta_1) \\ e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_1 - 2\theta_1) & e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_1 - 2\theta_1) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Find the largest degree of the exponent included in the calculation of the determinant Δ . Since $C_{3 \times 3}$ all the exponents in the determinant have positive degrees, it is obvious that the largest degree of the exponent is obtained by calculating the product of the following determinants

$$|C_{3 \times 3}| \cdot |B_{2 \times 2}|.$$

We calculate each determinant separately.

$$B = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_1 & \sin \theta_1 \end{vmatrix} = \sin \theta_1,$$

$$C = \begin{vmatrix} e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos \lambda_n \beta_2 & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin \lambda_n \beta_2 \\ e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + \theta_2) \\ e^{\lambda_n} & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \cos(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) & e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin(\lambda_n \beta_2 + 2\theta_2) \end{vmatrix} = 4e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sin \theta_2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_2}{2}.$$

From here

$$\Delta = e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2} K + f(\lambda_n),$$

where

$$K = 4 \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_2}{2},$$

$$f(\lambda_n) = o\left(e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2}\right) \text{ at } \lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Let's estimate Δ

$$|\Delta| = e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2} \left| K + e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) \right|,$$

because

$$\lim_{\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) = 0,$$

then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon < K \quad \exists N_1 \mid \forall n > N_1 \Rightarrow \left| e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) \right| < \varepsilon,$$

hence, for $n > N_1$, the inequality

$$\left| K + e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) \right| > K - \left| e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) \right| > K - \varepsilon,$$

denote

$$M_1 = \min_{n=1, N_1} \left| K + e^{-(\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2)} f(\lambda_n) \right|,$$

according to the lemma $M_1 \neq 0$, hence

$$\frac{1}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{1}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2}},$$

where

$$M = \min \{M_1; K - \varepsilon\}.$$

Now we get estimates for C_{in} , $i = \overline{1, 5}$. Calculations show that the following estimates are valid for algebraic additions $|\Delta_i|$, $i = \overline{1, 5}$:

$$|\Delta_1| \leq M_1 e^{2\lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}| \cdot |\Delta_2| \leq M_2 e^{\lambda_n + \lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}| \cdot |\Delta_3| \leq M_3 e^{\lambda_n + \lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|.$$

$$|\Delta_4| \leq M_4 e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}| \cdot |\Delta_5| \leq M_5 e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|.$$

where

$$|A_{in}| \leq \frac{2}{(\pi n)^4} \int_0^1 |\varphi_i^{(4)}| dy \leq \frac{S_i}{n^4}, \quad M_i - const, \quad i = \overline{1, 5}.$$

Hence, for the coefficients C_{in} we obtain the following estimates

$$|C_{1n}| = \frac{|\Delta_1|}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{M_1 e^{2\lambda_n\alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n\alpha_2}} \leq \frac{N_1}{e^{\lambda_n} n^4},$$

$$|C_{2n}| = \frac{|\Delta_2|}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{M_2 e^{\lambda_n + \lambda_n \alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2}} \leq \frac{N_2}{e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} n^4},$$

$$|C_{3n}| = \frac{|\Delta_3|}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{M_3 e^{\lambda_n + \lambda_n \alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2}} \leq \frac{N_3}{e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2} n^4},$$

$$|C_{4n}| = \frac{|\Delta_4|}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{M_4 e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2}} \leq \frac{N_4}{n^4},$$

$$|C_{5n}| = \frac{|\Delta_5|}{|\Delta|} \leq \frac{M_5 e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2} \sum_{i=1}^5 |A_{in}|}{M e^{\lambda_n + 2\lambda_n \alpha_2}} \leq \frac{N_4}{n^4}.$$

Let us prove the uniform convergence of series (10) in the region D .

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[|C_{1n}| e^{\lambda_n x} + (|C_{2n}| + |C_{3n}|) e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2 x} + (|C_{4n}| + |C_{5n}|) e^{-\lambda_n \alpha_1 x} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{N_1}{e^{\lambda_n(1-x)} n^4} + \frac{N_2}{e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2(1-x)} n^4} + \frac{N_3}{e^{\lambda_n \alpha_2(1-x)} n^4} + \frac{N_4}{n^4} + \frac{N_5}{n^4} \right] \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N}{n^4} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the uniform convergence of a series composed of partial derivatives with respect to a variable x up to the fifth order inclusive is shown.

For $u_{yy}(x, y)$ we have the estimate

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi n)^2 X_n(x) Y_n(y) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi n)^2 \frac{N}{n^4} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{n} \right)^2 N < \infty.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^5 u}{\partial x^5} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n^{(5)}(x) Y_n(y) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |X_n^{(5)}(x)| |Y_n(y)| = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^5 |X_n(x)| |Y_n(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi n)^2 \frac{N}{n^4} < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{n} \right)^2 N < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem -2 is proven

REFERENCES:

1. Cattabriga L. Potenziali di linea e di dominio per equazioni non parabolic in due variabili a caratteristiche multiple. Rendiconti del Sem. Mat. della Univ. di Padova 1961. Vol.31. p. 1-45.
2. Irgashev Yu., Apakov Yu. P. First regional a problem for equations third order pseudo-elliptical type. UzMJ, 2006, No. 2, pp. 44-51.
3. Yu. P. Apakov and A. H. Djuraev, Boundary Value Problems for a Fifth-Order Equation with Multiple Characteristics in an Infinite Domain. UzMJ, 2009, No. 4, pp. 21-28.

4. Djuraev A. H. Boundary value problem for a fifth-order equation with multiple characteristics in an unbounded domain. DAN, 2009, No. 6, pp. 14-18.
5. Tixonov A.N., Samarsky A.A. Equations of mathematical physics. M. "Science" 1977. 735 pages.